

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT TACOMA

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 JOSE LUIS MURILLO ESPINOSA,

12 Defendants.

CASE NO. CR13-5569BHS

ORDER

13 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's unopposed motion to continue the
14 trial date. The Court, having considered the unopposed motion and the Defendant's speedy trial
waiver, makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

15 1. The defense needs time to schedule an interpreter to go over a plea offer with the
16 Defendant, which defense counsel has been unable to accomplish do to a prearranged vacation
17 and other personal obligations.

18 2. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a continuance is necessary to allow
19 the defendant the reasonable time for effective preparation his defense, to explore resolution of
20 this case before trial and to substantially ensure continuity of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. §
21 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
22

1 3. Proceeding to trial absent adequate time for the defense to prepare would result in a
2 miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

3 4. The ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of
4 the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B).

5 5. Defendant waived speedy trial up to and including January 28, 2014.

6 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

7 That the trial date is continued from December 3, 2013, to January 28, 2014, at 9:00 a.m.
8 Pretrial Conference is set for January 21, 2014, at 2:30 p.m. The resulting period of delay from
9 November 14, 2013, to January 28, 2014, is hereby excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18
10 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B).

11 Dated this 21st day of November, 2013.

12
13 

14 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22